

Overview

- Generative models based on, e.g. VAEs [1], are promising for audio-visual speech enhancement (AVSE).
- Existing VAE-based AVSE models [2] overlook speech data's sequential nature and underutilize visual data.
- This work introduces AV-DKF, a generative model that effectively fuses audio-visual data using a first-order Markov chain model for latent variables.
- An efficient inference methodology is developed for estimating speech signals at test time.
- Experimental results show the superiority of AV-DKF over audio-only and non-sequential VAE-based audio-visual model.

Audio-visual speech enhancement



Visual modality (lip movements):

- Correlates well with speech signal (lip reading),
- Very helpful at highly noisy environments.

Short-time Fourier transform (STFT) representation:



• $\mathbf{x} = {\mathbf{x}_t}_{t=1}^T$ (similarly for \mathbf{s} , \mathbf{v} (visual features), and \mathbf{n}).

AVSE approaches

 \triangleright Supervised (discriminative): Model $p_{\Theta}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$, and learn Θ

	Audio encoder			ŝ
\mathbf{V}		Fusion	\rightarrow Decoder \rightarrow	
Distance of the local				
" and "		^		
and the second s	Video			
Alles -	encoder			

▷ Unsupervised (generative): Speech enhancement without training on noise.

Model $p_{\Theta}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) \propto \underline{p_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{s},\mathbf{v})} \cdot \underline{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{v})}$, and learn $\Theta = \boldsymbol{\theta} \cup \boldsymbol{\psi}$:

- Training Learn speech's prior distribution $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{v})$
- Inference Model $p_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{s},\mathbf{v})$, and infer \mathbf{s} using $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{v})$

Audio-visual Speech Enhancement with a Deep Kalman Filter Generative Model

Ali GOLMAKANI, Mostafa SADEGHI, and Romain SERIZEL Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA, F-54000 Nancy, France



Figure 1:Schematic diagram of the proposed AV-DKF generative model (without explicit architecture of the prior network). MLP: multilayer perception, RNN: recurrent neural network, VE: video encoder, \bigoplus : addition, C: concatenation, S: sampling in the latent space.

 $\mathbf{v}_{1:T}$

AV-DKF inference:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{v}_t))\right),$$

 $\operatorname{ag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta}^p(\mathbf{v}_t))\right)$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}) p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{v}_{1:T})$$

 \land No temporal modeling \rightarrow not realistic for STFT time frames.

Proposed methodology: AV-DKF

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Time-dependent} \ \mathbf{factorization:} \ p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{z}_{1:T}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}) &= \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t} | \mathbf{z}_{t}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}) \times \underbrace{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_{t} | \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T})}_{\text{first-order Markov model}} \\ \begin{cases} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t} | \mathbf{z}_{t}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}) &= \mathcal{N}_{c} \Big(\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta_{s}}^{2}(\mathbf{z}_{t}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T})) \Big), \\ p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_{t} | \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}) &= \mathcal{N} \Big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta_{s}}(\mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T}), \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta_{s}}^{2}(\mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}_{1:T})) \Big), \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

$$q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}_{1:T}|\mathbf{u}_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{r}_t) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \mathcal{N}\Big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_t), \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\psi}^2(\mathbf{r}_t))\Big), \quad \mathbf{u}_{1:T} = \{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{v}_t\}_{t=1}^{T}, \quad \mathbf{r}_t = \{\mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t:T}\}_{t=1}^{T} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{t=1}^{T}$$



- frames of length n = 513.

<u>Performance measures</u>: Signal-to-distortion ratio (SDR), Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (**PESQ**) [-0.5,4.5], Short-time objective intelligibility (\mathbf{STOI}) [0,1].

Table 1: For each method, top row: g_t updated by multiplicative rules [4,5], bottom **row**: g_t proposed update.

Metric	SI-SDR (dB)					PESQ				STOI					
SNR (dB)	-5	0	5	10	15	-5	0	5	10	15	-5	0	5	10	15
Input	-12.80	-7.72	-2.91	2.04	7.25	1.51	1.76	2.05	2.37	2.85	0.20	0.30	0.43	0.56	0.69
A-VAE	-7.37	-1.92	3.78	8.65	13.07	1.63	1.91	2.20	2.50	2.85	0.21	0.32	0.45	0.59	0.72
	-8.46	-2.60	3.02	8.11	13.01	1.67	1.95	2.25	2.58	2.90	0.22	0.32	0.47	0.60	0.73
AV-VAE	-6.86	-0.83	4.70	9.38	13.90	1.74	2.00	2.31	2.61	2.90	0.20	0.31	0.45	0.59	0.72
	-6.65	-0.86	4.47	9.26	13.77	1.75	2.03	2.34	2.65	2.93	0.22	0.33	0.47	0.61	0.73
A-DKF	-6.50	-1.41	1.99	4.36	5.55	1.48	1.67	1.87	2.02	2.13	0.22	0.33	0.45	0.55	0.64
	-7.02	-0.92	4.76	10.39	14.96	1.78	2.08	2.41	2.75	3.03	0.22	0.35	0.50	0.65	0.77
AV-DKF -	-5.04	-0.21	2.93	4.92	5.48	1.39	1.61	1.82	1.97	2.07	0.22	0.33	0.44	0.55	0.63
	-3.78	1.78	7.19	11.66	15.81	1.94	2.24	2.54	2.80	3.05	0.25	0.38	0.52	0.66	0.77

Conclusions:

 \triangleright Audio-visual models outperform their audio-only counterparts. \triangleright The proposed **g**-update works much better than the multiplicative one. \triangleright The proposed AV-DKF model provides higher metrics than AV-VAE.

- 1 D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, "Auto-encoding variational Bayes," ICLR, 2014. 2 M. Sadeghi et al., "Audio-Visual Speech Enhancement Using Conditional Variational Auto-Encoders," IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol. 28, pp. 1788–1800, June 2020. 3 A.-H. Abdelaziz, "NTCD-TIMIT: A new database and baseline for noise-robust audio-visual speech recognition," INTERSPEECH, 2017.
- **4** S. Leglaive *et al.* "A variance modeling framework based on variational autoencoders for speech enhancement," MLSP, 2018.
- **5** X. Bie *et al.*, "Unsupervised speech enhancement using dy- namical variational autoencoders," IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol. 30, pp. 2993–3007, 2022.



ICASSP'23

Poster number: 4421

Speech enhancement

From an initialization $\psi^{(0)}$ of the parameters $\psi = {\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{H}}$, iterate:

 $Q(\psi|\psi^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{p_{\psi}(k)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})}[\log p_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v})] \approx \log p_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{v})$

 $\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{g}^* = \underset{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{1} \log p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_t, g_t, \mathbf{v}_t) + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}_t) + \log p(g_t),$

As opposed to the previous works, here \mathbf{g} is treated as a latent variable with a Gamma prior distribution.

• **M-Step**: $\psi^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \arg \max_{\psi} Q(\psi | \psi^{(k)})$

Speech estimation (posterior mean):

 $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbb{E}_{p_{\psi^*}(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})}\{\mathbf{s}\} \approx \{\frac{g_t^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{z}_t^*,\mathbf{v}_{1:T})}{q_t^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{z}_t^*,\mathbf{v}_{1:T}) + [\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{H}^*]_{\star}} \odot \mathbf{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T.$

Experiments

• **Corpus**: NTCD-TIMIT [3]: 56 English speakers (39 training, 8 validation, 9 test), 98 sentences (~ 5 s) per speaker.

• Noise types: Living Room, White, Cafe, Car, Babble, Street. • **STFT parameters**: 64 ms sine window, 75% overlap \rightarrow STFT

• **Baselines**: A-VAE [4], AV-VAE [2], A-DKF [5].

References